

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/25/03

PROGRAM TITLE:
PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09

PUBLIC SAFETY

| | FISCAL YEAR 2002- | 03 | ТН | REE MONTHS EI | IDED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MON | THS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|--|--------|------------------|
| | BUDGETED ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | <u> </u> | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | | CHANGE | % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | } | | | | | | | ; } } } |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 2,787.5 2,519.5 183,024 183,127 | | 10 | 2,786.0 39,754 | 2,524.0 40,691 | - | 262.0 937 | 9 2 | | | 1 | 151 | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 2,787.5 2,519.5 183,024 183,127 | | | 2,786.0 39,754 | 2,524.0 40,691 | - | 262.0 937 | 9 | | | | 151 | |
| | | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u>i </u> | | i |
| | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | <u> </u> | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | | CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. "# THEFTS, BURGL, PROP DAMAGE 2. # ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW E 3. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT | NFORCEMT OFFICERS FACILITIES | | ! ! ! ! ! ! | 100 30 2 | 37 37 10 | i + | 63 7 8 | 63 23 400 | 125 30 | | + | 10 | 33 |
| 4. # PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED TO | PRISON | | 1 | 400 | 420 | + | 20 | 5 | 400 | 410 | + | 10 | 3 |

VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 03 and FY 04

09

PROGRAM TITLE: PUBLIC SAFETY

Part I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in FY 03 is due to employee turnover, delays in facility expansion, collective bargaining augmentation, recruitment difficulties, and increasing operational costs.

The variance in FY 04 is due to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties, collective bargaining augmentation, and increasing operational costs.

Part II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See lowest level programs for explanation of variances.

VARIANCE REPORT

PROGRAM TITLE:

SAFETY FROM CRIMINAL ACTIONS

REPORT V61 11/25/03

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0901

| | FISCAL YEAR | 2002-03 | | | ТН | REE MONTHS EN | NDED 9-30-03 | 1 | | i ! | NINE MONT | THS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|-----|---|----|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|---|--------|--------------------------------|
| | BUDGETED ACT | UAL | ± C | HANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ! + | CHANGE | ! % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | · | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | 8 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | - - - - - |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | ,354.0 63,381 | - | 267.0 3,727 | | 2,617.5 36,199 | 2,356.5 36,188 | | 261.0 11 | 10 | 2,617.5 140,915 | | | 128 | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | ,354.0 63,381 | | 267.0 3,727 | | 2,617.5 36,199 | 2,356.5 36,188 | | 261.0 11 | 10 | 2,617.5 140,915 | | | 128 | |
| | | | | *************************************** | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | <u> </u> | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u>i </u> | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | <u>+</u> | CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. "# THEFTS, BURGL, PROP DAMAGE 2. # ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW I 3. # ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT FAC 4. # PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED TO | REPTD INV PUBLIC ENFORCEMENT OFFIC CILITIES | PRO ERS | | | | 100 30 2 400 | 37 37 10 420 | + | 63 7 8 20 | 63 23 400 5 | 125 30 400 | 125 40 410 | + | 10 | i |

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090101

CONFINEMENT.

| | FISCAL | YEAR 2002-03 | | TH | REE MONTHS EN | IDED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MON | THS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | . | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|------------------|----|---|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ¦ ± | CHANGE ; | ¦ % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | , , | | | | | | · | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | 3 5 5 7 8 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 2,039.4 94,340 | | - 199.0 7,855 | | 2,039.4 24,648 | 1,843.4 24,648 | - | 196.0 | 10 | 2,039.4 77,225 | | | 128 | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 2,039.4 94,340 | | - 199.0 7,855 | | 2,039.4 24,648 | 1,843.4 24,648 | - | 196.0 | 10 | 2,039.4 77,225 | | | 128 | |
| | | | | İ | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | ! | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | i | i | |
| | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ļ ± | CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT 2. % INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC 3. % INMATES COMPLETING VOCATAL (4. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSELG, 5. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTI 6. % INMATES COMPLETING COMMUNITY 7. % INMATES COMPLETING FURLOUGH 8. % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNDT 1 9. % INMATES TEST POSITIVE ON UR: | PROGRAMS OR OJT PROGRA /TREATMENT PR NL INDUSTRIES / WORKLINE PR PROGRAM (N HIGH/GRTST | OGS PROGS OGS CATEGS | | | 2 44 62 64 19 59 63 11 | 10 44 58 69 12 47 67 14 | -+++ | 8 4 5 7 12 4 3 | 400 6 8 37 20 6 27 | 44 61 68 20 57 62 12 | 48 63 67 14 60 67 16 | + + + + | 4 2 1 6 3 5 | 1 30 5 |

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 402
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010102

| | FISCAL YEAR 200 |)2-03 | † Th | IREE MONTHS EI | NDED 9-30-03 | l | | į | NINE MON. | THS ENDING 6- | -30-04 | 4 | |
|---|--|--|------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------|---|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--|-------------|
| | BUDGETED ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | į ± | : CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ¦ ± | CHANGE | |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 407.0 395 17,314 19,3 | 5.0 - 12.0 376 - 2,062 | | 407.0 4,604 | 395.0 4,604 | - | 12.0 | 3 | 407.0 13,833 | 407.0 13,833 | | | ! ! ! |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 407.0 395 17,314 19,3 | | , | 407.0 4,604 | 395.0 4,604 | | 12.0 | 3 | 407.0 13,833 | 407.0 13,833 | | TO SEE SEE ALCO SEE THE PROPERTY AND ALCO SEE | |
| | | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | *************************************** | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> |
| | | | į | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ! + | CHANGE | ! % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT 2. % INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC 3. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSEL(4. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSEL(5. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTI 6. % INMATES COMPLETING COMMUNITY 7. % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNDT 1 | PROGRAMS DR OJT PROGRAMS /TREATMENT PROGS NL INDUSTRIES PROGS Y WORKLINE PROGS | | | 40 43 60 13 | 3 77 61 58 10 | +++ | 3 37 18 2 3 | *** 93 42 3 23 | 40 43 60 13 | 77 61 58 11 | + + | 37 18 2 2 | 4 |
| 8. % INMATES TEST POSITIVE ON URI 9. # MAN-HOURS CONTRIBUTED BY CON | INALYSIS TEST | | | . 13 7 | 12 10 | + | 1 3 | 8 43 | 13 7 | 12 10 | + | 3 | |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION | | ************************************** | | 1,562 | 1,336 | - | 226 | 14 | 1,841 | 1,841 | | | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # ADMISSIONS (NEW AND TRANSFER 2. # INMATES TRANSFER'D TO LOWER 3. # INMATES RELEASED 4. # INIT CLASSECTE & DECLASSIONS 4. # INIT CLASSECTE & DECLASSIONS | SECUR FACILITIES | | | 1,675 739 673 | 1,333 512 660 | - | 342 227 13 | 20 31 2 | 1,892 815 798 | 1,892 815 798 | | | |
| 4. # INIT CLASSFCTN & RECLASSFCTN 5. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN ACA 6. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN COL 7. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN COL 8. # INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORD THE | ADEMIC PROGS C/OJT PROGS JNSEL/TRTMT PROGS | | | 1,776 896 428 625 | 1,719 1,001 448 590 | + + - | 57 105 20 35 | 3 12 5 6 | 1,776 896 428 625 | 1,776 1,001 448 590 | + + - | 105 20 35 | 1 |
| 8. # INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORR INC 9. # URINALYSIS TESTS ADMINISTERS | JU3 1 PROG | | : | 145 | 103 | ! - | 42 | 29 | 145 | 103 | ! - | 42 | 1 2 |

PROGRAM TITLE: Halawa Correctional Facility

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The expenditure variance in fiscal year 2002-03 is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increasing operational requirements.

FY 2004:

No significant variances

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: The variance is due to three escapes from the facility.

<u>Items 2 and 3</u>: The variance in the number of inmates participating in academic programs is due to: (a) A rise in the inmate population, particularly in the category of 19 to 24 years of age; and (b) the aggressive approach the educational unit used to address the educational needs of these individuals.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance in the number of inmates employed by Correctional Industries is due to Correctional Industries' financial difficulties which resulted in the employment of fewer inmates.

<u>Item 8</u>: The variance is due to Halawa Correctional Facility's (HCF) Administration taking an aggressive role by testing more inmates based on cause and reasonable suspicion, as well as, random drug testing. The increased testing is due to a rise in the number of attempts and infiltration of illegal drugs into the facility.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance in the average facility population resulted from more prison inmates being housed at the Oahu Community Correctional Center and in the contracted out of state facilities.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

<u>Items 1 and 2</u>: The variance in the number of inmate admissions and inmate transfers resulted from an overestimate in the planned facility population that was used to derive the planned number of admissions and transfers.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance in the number of inmates participating in academic programs is due to: (a) A rise in the inmate population, particularly in the category of 19 to 24 years of age; and (b) The aggressive approach the educational unit used to address the educational needs of these individuals.

<u>Item 8</u>: The variance in the number of inmates employed by Correctional Industries is due to Correctional Industries' financial difficulties which resulted in the employment of fewer inmates.

<u>Item 9</u>: The variance is due to HCF's Administration taking an aggressive role by testing more inmates based on cause and reasonable suspicion, as well as, random drug testing. The increased testing is due to a rise in the number of attempts and infiltration of illegal drugs into the facility.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE: KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 403
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010103

| | FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 | | ТН | REE MONTHS E | NDED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MONT | THS ENDING 6- | -30-04 | |
|---|---|--------------|----|---|---|------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|----------|-------------|
| | BUDGETED ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | |
| PART 1: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | [[| _~~ | | | · [|
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | i ! ! ! | | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 79.0 72.0 3,592 3,747 | - 7.0 155 | 9 | 79.0 854 | 71.0 854 | - | 8.0 | 10 | 79.0 3,036 | 79.0 3,036 | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 79.0 72.0 3,592 3,747 | - 7.0 155 | | 79.0 854 | 71.0 854 | | 8.0 | 10 | 79.0 3,036 | 79.0 3,036 | | |
| • | | | | FISCAI | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | -- | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u> </u> | |
| | | | İ | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT 2. % INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC 3. % INMATES COMPLETING VOCATNL 4. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSELG/ 5. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTN 6. % INMATES COMPLETING COMMUNITY 7. % INMATES M/SANC FOR MISCNDT I 8. % INMATES TEST POSITIVE ON URI 9. # MAN-HOURS CONTRIBUTED BY COMPART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP | PROGRAMS OR OJT PROGRAMS TREATMENT PROGS IL INDUSTRIES PROGS 'MORKLINE PROGS N HIGH/GRTST CATEGS NALYSIS TEST | | | 1 59 100 53 46 18 13 2 17,989 | 1 31 54 88 38 14 8 2 11,052 | + | 28 46 35 8 4 5 | 47 46 66 17 22 38 | 59 100 53 46 18 13 2 17,979 | 59 100 53 46 18 13 2 17,979 | | |
| 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION | | | | 225 | 171 | - | 54 | 24 | 225 | 225 | | ! |
| PART IY: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # ADMISSIONS (NEW AND TRANSFER 2. # INMATES TRANSFER'D TO LOWER 3. # INMATES RELEASED 4. # CLASSIFICATIONS & RECLASSIFI 5. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN YOC 7. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN COU | & HIGHER SECUR FAC CATIONS COMPLETED DEMIC PROGS /OJT PROGS NSEL/TRINT PROGS | | | 201 135 58 341 100 171 216 | 48 89 21 335 70 183 260 | + + | 153 46 37 6 30 12 44 | 76 34 64 2 30 7 | 201 139 62 341 100 171 216 | 201 139 62 341 100 171 216 | | |
| 8. # INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORR IND | UST PROG | | 1 | 76 | 73 | - | 3 | 4 | 76 | 76 | į | į |

PROGRAM TITLE: Kulani Correctional Facility

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003

The expenditure variance in fiscal year 2002-03 is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increasing operational requirements.

FY 2004:

The position variance in fiscal year 2003-04 is attributed to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- <u>Item 2</u>: The variance is due to the diversion of inmates to Sex Offender Treatment Programs or the Substance Abuse treatment program instead of the academic programs.
- Item 3: The variance is due to many of the inmates being transferred to Community Correctional Centers prior to the completion of these certificate courses.
- <u>Item 4</u>: The variance is due to additional Substance Abuse and Sex Offender Treatment Programs slots, allowing more inmate participants in these programs.
- <u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to a decrease in the inmate population at the Kulani Correctional Facility (KCF).
- <u>Item 6</u>: The variance is due to the use of fewer inmates to perform manual labor for Community Service projects; instead, more heavy equipment was used.
- <u>Item 7</u>: The variance is due to a reduction in the average facility population which resulted in a lower percentage of inmates with sanctions for misconduct in the high or greatest category.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance is due to the use of fewer inmates to perform manual labor for Community Service projects; instead, more heavy equipment was used.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

<u>Item I</u>: The variance in the average facility population resulted from the shortage of water at the facility that placed limits on the size of the inmate population.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Items 1, 2 and 3: The variance in the number of inmate admissions, inmate transfers, and inmates released resulted from the overestimate in the planned facility population that was used to derive the planned number of admissions and transfers.
- Item 5: The variance is due to the diversion of inmates from academic programs to Sex Offender Treatment Programs or the Substance Abuse treatment programs.
- Item 7: The variance is due to additional Substance Abuse and Sex Offender Treatment Programs slots, allowing more inmate participants in these programs.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance is due to two factors: (a) KCF experienced a problem obtaining re-agents; and (b) Additional training was required to for staff responsible for administering the urinalysis tests resulting in a program that was not fully operational.

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 404
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010104

| | FISCAL YEA | R 2002-03 |) | | THREE MONTHS E | NDED 9-30-03 | ! | | <u> </u> | NINE MONT | HS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | |
|--|---|----------------|---------|----------------|--|---|------------------|---|--|---------------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | BUDGETED AC | TUAL | ± CHANG | E % | BUDGETER | ACTUAL | į ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | : : |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | [| | | | | | —————————————————————————————————————— | | - |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | # # # # | | 1 | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS | 1 1 1 | | | | ! | | | | | | | <u> </u> | į |
| POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 108.0 4,085 | 100.0 4,283 | | 8.0 7 198 5 | | 99.0 1,026 | - | 9.0 | 8 | 108.0 3,368 | 108.0 3,368 | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 108.0 4,085 | 100.0 4,283 | | 8.0 7 198 5 | | 99.0 1,026 | - | 9.0 | 8 | 108.0 3,368 | 108.0 3,368 | | |
| | | | | 1 | FISCA | L YEAR 2002- | 03 | 1 | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | | |
| | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | † ± CHANGE | : |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT 2. % INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC 3. % INMATES COMPLETING VOCATAL (4. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSELG, 5. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTION 6. % INMATES COMPLETING COMMUNITY | PROGRAMS OR OJT PROGRAMS /TREATMENT PROGS NL INDUSTRIES PR | OGS | | | 90 91 85 6 | 3 90 91 80 | + | 3 5 6 | *** | 90 91 85 2 | 90 91 80 | | 5 10 |
| 7. % INMATES M/SANC FOR MISCNDT 8. % INMATES TEST POSITIVE ON UR 9. # MAN-HOURS CONTRIBUTED BY CO | IN HIGH/GRTST CA INALYSIS TEST | TEGS | | | 20 4 11,500 | 7 10,887 | | 13 4 613 | 65 100 5 | 30 2 11,500 | 15 2 11,500 | - 1 | 5 5 |
| ART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION | | | | | 334 | 331 | - | 3 | 1 | 334 | 334 | 1 | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # ADMISSIONS (NEW AND TRANSFEI 2. # INMATES TRANSFER'D TO LOWER 3. # INMATES RELEASED 4. # CLASSIFICATIONS & RECLASSIF: 5. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN ACCOMMENTATIONS OF THE PARTICIPATING OF THE PARTICIPAT | & HIGHER SECUR ICATIONS COMPLET ADEMIC PROGS C/OJT PROGS UNSEL/TRTMT PROG | ED | | | 472 200 266 600 650 70 350 | 395 153 240 656 720 170 257 | - + + + - | 77 47 26 56 70 100 93 | 16 24 10 9 11 143 27 | | 472 200 272 600 650 70 350 | | |
| 9. # URINALYSIS TESTS ADMINISTER | | | | | 6,960 | 4,560 | - | 2,400 | 34 | 6,960 | 6,960 | į Į | İ |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: Waiawa Correctional Facility

09 01 01 04 PSD 404

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

No significant variance.

FY 2004:

The variance is due to employee turnover.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: The variance in the number is due to three escapes.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to the discontinuation of the sewing operation at Waiawa Correctional Facility (WCF).

<u>Item 7</u>: The variance is due to a policy change implemented by the Chief of Security in handling inmate infractions. More infractions are now documented in the lower categories.

<u>Item 8:</u> The variance is due to WCF's mandatory bi-monthly drug testing policy that has served as a deterrent to drug use for the inmates participating in substance abuse treatment.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

There is no significant variance to report in this target group.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

<u>Items 1, 2 and 3</u>: The variance in the number of inmate admissions, the number of inmate transfers, and the number of inmates released resulted from more inmates remaining at the facility for a longer period of time to fulfill programming requirements. As a result, fewer inmates were admitted to WCF, transferred to other facilities, and released during Fiscal Year 2003.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to an increase in the number of inmates enrolled in GED classes, cognitive skills, and vocational programs.

<u>Item 6</u>: The variance is due to increased attendance due to mandatory courses that inmates had to complete before they are eligible for parole.

 $\underline{\text{Item 7}}.$ The variance is due to recruitment difficulties for substance abuse counselor positions.

Item 9: The variance is due to an oversight in the monitoring of the urinalysis testing program.

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 405
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010105

| LISCAL YEA | R 2002-03 | | TH | REE MONTHS EN | DED 9-30-03 | | | <u> </u> | NINE MONT | HS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| BUDGETED AC | TUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± | CHANGE | % |
| | | | | | | | | | | | · | | |
| | | | 40 an | | | # | | | | | 1 | | |
| 166.0 5,798 | 143.0 6,337 | - 23.0 539 | 14 | 162.0 1,455 | 137.0 1,455 | - | 25.0 | 15 | 162.0 4.379 | 162.0 4.379 | | | |
| 166.0 5,798 | 143.0 6,337 | - 23.0 539 | 14 | 162.0 1,455 | 137.0 1,455 | - | 25.0 | 15 | 162.0 4,379 | 162.0 4,379 | | | |
| | | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | <u> </u> | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | ł | | Ĺ |
| | | | į | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± | CHANGE | % |
| L INDUSTRIES PR WORKLINE PROGS N HIGH/GRTST CA NALYSIS TEST | OGS TEGS | | | 2 5 65 20 2 50 8 20 9,000 | 2 4 95 20 2 50 14 5 15,501 | -++-+ | 1 30 6 15 6,501 | 20 46 75 75 72 | 5 5 80 50 20 50 50 5 10 | 3 3 80 50 2 50 15 10 15,000 | | 2 2 18 10 5,000 | 90 200 |
| | | | 1 | 324 | 301 | - | 23 | 7 | 327 | 327 | | 1 | |
| & HIGHER SECUR IS COMPLETED DEMIC PROGS | ORS) FAC | | | 1,093 1,787 216 2,608 615 190 70 | 975 1,387 133 2,197 1,387 190 70 | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 118 400 83 411 772 | 11 22 38 16 126 | 1,163 1,809 217 2,752 675 180 | 1,163 1,809 217 2,752 800 200 55 | + + + - | 125 20 5 | 11 |
| | BUDGETED AC 166.0 5,798 166.0 5,798 166.0 5,798 FACILITIES PROGRAMS R OJT PROGRAMS PROGRAM TREATMENT PROGS L INDUSTRIES PR WORKLINE PROGS NALYSIS TEST M WORKLINE PROG | BUDGETED ACTUAL 166.0 143.0 5,798 6,337 166.0 143.0 5,798 6,337 166.0 143.0 6,337 166.0 143.0 6,337 I66.0 BUDGETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE 166.0 143.0 - 23.0 5,798 6,337 539 166.0 143.0 - 23.0 5,798 6,337 539 FACILITIES PROGRAMS PROGRAMS PROGRAMS PROGRAMS IL INDUSTRIES PROGS HORKLINE PROGS NALYSIS TEST M HORKLINE PROGS RANSFERS) RS, TECH VIOLATORS) & HIGHER SECUR FAC S COMPLETED DEMIC PROGS | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL | BUDGETED ACTUAL |

PROGRAM TITLE: Hawaii Community Correctional Center

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The expenditure variance in fiscal year 2002-03 is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increasing operational requirements.

FY 2004:

The variances occurring in fiscal year 2003-04 are attributed to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- <u>Item 3</u>: The variance is due to: (a) the increased cancellation of classes due to staffing shortages, and (b) inmate turnover which affected the completion rates.
- <u>Item 4</u>: The variance is due to the furlough team working diligently with the inmates to produce a higher success rate.
- <u>Item 8</u>: The variance in the percentage of inmates with sanctions for misconduct in the high or greatest category is due to an increased inmate population and overcrowded conditions.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance in the number of inmates who test positive on urinalysis tests is due to an overestimation of the planned figure and good security procedures.
- <u>Item 10</u>: The variance in the number of man-hours contributed by community workline programs is due to additional security staff. As Adult Correctional Officer positions were filled, the worklines increased from one to three.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

There is no significant variance to report in this target group.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- <u>Item 1</u>: The variance in the number of pretrial admissions resulted from an overestimation of the number of admissions for pretrial misdemeanants.
- <u>Item 2</u>: The variance in the number of sentenced admissions resulted form a smaller number of prison inmates admitted to Hawaii Community Correctional Center.
- <u>Item 3</u>: The variance in the number of inmates transferred (to higher and lower facilities) resulted as fewer sentenced felons and parole violators were transferred.
- <u>Item 4</u>: The variance in the number of inmates release occurred because of overestimations in the number of releases for pretrial misdemeanants and sentenced misdemeanants.
- <u>Item 5</u>: The variance in the number of initial classifications and reclassifications completed is due to an increase in the number of Court sentences and convictions.
- <u>Item 8</u>: This variance in the number of inmates participating in counseling or treatment programs is due to the reduction of counselors providing services for these programs.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance in the number of inmates employed by Correctional Industries is due to an increase in the number of inmates needed for the workline in the papaya industry.
- <u>Item 10</u>: The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number of inmates participating in furlough programs.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 406PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010106

FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 THREE MONTHS ENDED 9-30-03 NINE MONTHS ENDING 6-30-04 BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS **POSITIONS EXPENDITURES OPERATING COSTS** POSITIONS 187.0 167.0 20.0 11 188.0 165.0 23.0 12 188.0 188.0 **EXPENDITURES** 6,380 7,093 713 11 1,652 1,652 5,329 5.329 TOTAL COSTS **POSITIONS** 187.0 167.0 20.0 11 188.0 165.0 23.0 12! 188.0 188.0 **EXPENDITURES** 6,380 7,093 713! 11 1,652 1,652 5,329 5,329 FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 FISCAL YEAR 2003-04 PLANNED ACTUAL ± CHANGE PLANNED ESTIMATED + CHANGE | % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT FACILITIES 1 1 2. % INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC PROGRAMS 15 7 8 53 15 15 3. % INMATES COMPLETING VOCATAL OR OJT PROGRAMS 85 85 85 85 4. % INMATES COMPLETING FURLOUGH PROGRAM 75 75 75 75 5. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSELG/TREATMENT PROGS 75 75 75 75 6. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTNL INDUSTRIES PROGS 10 10 10 10 7. % INMATES COMPLETING COMMUNITY WORKLINE PROGS 90 90 90 90 8. % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNDT IN HIGH/GRTST CATEGS 5 22 17 340 5 20 15 300 9. % INMATES TEST POSITIVE ON URINALYSIS TEST 20 14 30 20 20 10. # MAN-HOURS CONTRIBUTED BY COMM WORKLINE PROGS 50,000 44,944 5,056 10 50,000 50,000 PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION 394 345 49 12 397 397 PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # PRETRIAL ADMISSIONS (NEW & TRANSFERS) 916 802 114 12 920 920 2. # SENTENCED ADMSSNS (NEW, TRSFRS, TECH VIOLATORS) 854 743 111 13; 855 855 3. # INMATES TRANSFER'D TO LOWER & HIGHER SECUR FAC 170 183 13 8 183 183 4. # INMATES RELEASED 1,576 1,389 187 12 1.589 1.589 5. # INIT CLASSFCTN & RECLASSFCTNS COMPLETED 950 680 240 710 75 950 270 72 6. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN ACADEMIC PROGS 120 113 7 120 120 7. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VOC/OJT PROGS 30 143 113 377 130! 433 30 160 8. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN COUNSEL/TRIMT PROGS 140 176 36 26 140 190 50 36 9. # INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORR INDUST PROG 160 107 53 33; 160 30 130! 81 10. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN FURLOUGH PROGS 130 143 | + 13 150 20 15

PROGRAM TITLE: Maui Community Correctional Center

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The expenditure variance in fiscal year 2002-03 is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increasing operational requirements.

FY 2004:

The variances occurring in fiscal year 2003-04 is attributed to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- <u>Item 2</u>: The variance in the percent of inmates completing academic programs decreased due to an overestimation of participants.
- <u>Item 8</u>: The variance in the percent of inmates with sanctions for misconducts in the high or greatest category is attributed to the overcrowded conditions in certain areas of the facility, as well as new admissions withdrawing from drug usage.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance in the number of inmates who test positive on urinalysis tests is due to good security procedures.
- <u>Item 10</u>: The variance in number of man-hours contributed by the community workline program is due to periodic security manpower shortages at Maui Community Correctional Center (MCCC), not all worklines were sent out.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance is due to a smaller than expected number of prison inmates transferred and housed at MCCC.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- <u>Item 1</u>: The variance in the number of pretrial admissions resulted from a decline in the number of admissions for pretrial misdemeanants and pretrial felons. Decreases occurred in the number of admissions for pretrial misdemeanants charged for abuse of a household member or criminal contempt of court. For pretrial felons, decreases occurred in the numbers charged for property or drug offenses.
- <u>Item 2</u>: The variance in the number of sentenced admissions resulted from a decline in the number of admissions for sentenced felons, parole violators, and probation violators.
- <u>Item 4</u>: The variance in the number of inmates released resulted from the decline in the number of releases for pretrial misdemeanants and pretrial felons.
- <u>Item 5</u>: The variance in the number of initial classification and reclassifications completed is due to a change in the methodology on the way this measure is reported due to a change in the facilities administration.
- <u>Item 7</u>: The variance in the number of inmates participating in vocational/on-the job training programs has increased as the result of the collaboration with the Maui Economic Opportunity that has provided pre-employment training to eligible sentenced inmates.
- <u>Item 8</u>: The variance in the number of inmates participating in counseling or treatment programs is due to an underestimation of inmates needing to attend these types of programs.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance in the number of inmates employed by Correctional Industries is due to an overestimation. In the past year, the Maui Land and Pineapple Company required less inmate labor.
- <u>Item 10</u>: The variance in the number of inmates participating in furlough programs is due to the facility having more qualified inmates.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61

11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 407

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010107

| | FISCAL | YEAR 2002-03 | | į T | HREE MONTHS EN | NDED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MONT | HS ENDING 6- | -3004 | | |
|---|--|------------------------|-----------------|-----|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ¦ ± | CHANGE | |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | i | | | ···· | | | | - | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | · | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 484.0 20,762 | | - 17.0 - 480 | | 484.0 5,127 | 461.0 5,127 | - | 23.0 | 5 | 484.0 16,829 | 484.0 16.829 | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 484.0 20,762 | | - 17.0 - 480 | | 484.0 5,127 | 461.0 5,127 | - | 23.0 | 5 | 484.0 16,829 | 484.0 16,829 | | 7900 9444 fr <u> </u> | |
| | , | | | - | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | 1 % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | 1 ± | CHANGE | ¦ % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT 2. % INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC 3. % INMATES COMPLETING VOCATNL C 4. % INMATES COMPLETING FURLOUGH 5. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSELG/ | PROGRAMS OR OJT PROGRA PROGRAM | | | : | 70 75 75 68 | 2 71 75 75 | + + | 2 1 | *** | 70 75 75 | 70 75 75 | | | |
| 6. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTN 7. % INMATES COMPLETING COMMUNITY 8. % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNDT I 9. % INMATES TEST POSITIVE ON URI 10. # MAN-HOURS CONTRIBUTED BY COM | NL INDUSTRIES / WORKLINE PR N HIGH/GRTST NALYSIS TEST | PROGS OGS CATEGS | | | 50 85 8 2 | 69 16 85 9 | + | 1 34 1 | 1 68 13 | 68 50 85 8 2 | 69 20 85 9 | + - + - | 1 30 1 2 | 60 13 |
| | m MORRLINE P | KUG3 | | | 75,000 | 75,000 | ! ! | | | 75,000 | 75,000 | | | ! |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION | | ÷ | | | 1,035 | 1,142 | + | 107 | 10 | 1,045 | 1,045 | | | |
| PART IY: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # PRETRIAL ADMISSIONS (NEW & T 2. # SENTENCED ADMSSNS (NEW, TRSF 3. # INMATES TRANSFER'D TO LOWER 4. # INMATES RELEASED | RS. TECH VIO | LATORS) UR FAC | | | 3,256 2,390 1,389 4,191 | 3,987 2,328 1,296 | + | 731 62 93 | 22 3 7 | 3,268 2,391 1,389 | 3,268 2,391 1,389 | | | |
| 5. # INIT CLASSFCTN & RECLASSFCTN 6. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN ACA 7. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VOC 8. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN COU | DEMIC PROGS | Pocs | | | 200 4,710 360 | 4,919 5,100 4,710 360 | + | 728 4,900 | 17 450 | 4,260 200 4,700 360 | 4,260 5,200 4,700 360 | + | 5,000 | ! ! ! |
| " AUDIOTES FARTICIPATING IN LUE | maci,/IKIMI P | RUUS | | | 910 | 912 | ! + | 2 | . 1 | 910 | 915 | ! + | 5 | ! 1 |

PROGRAM TITLE: Oahu Community Correctional Center

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The variances occurring in fiscal year 2002-03 are attributed to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties, increasing operating costs and collective bargaining augmentation.

FY 2004:

The variances occurring in fiscal year 2003-04 are attributed to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties, and increasing operating costs.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: There were two escapes from the facility.

<u>Item 6</u>: The percent of inmates employed by the correctional industries program was lower than planned. The variance is attributed to the closure of the Pineline program and less inmate participation in the correctional industries program.

Item 8: The percent of inmates with sanctions for misconduct in the high or greatest category was higher than planned. The variance is attributed to an increase in the number of fights and assaults especially for female inmates. The female inmates are housed in an open barracks type living unit. Without cells to confine them, the facility experienced an increase in the number of altercations between inmates.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance in the average facility population resulted from more prison inmates being housed at the Oahu Community Correctional Center (OCCC) than planned.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: The variance in the number of pretrial admissions resulted from an increase instead of a projected decrease in the number of admissions for pretrial felons and pretrial misdemeanants. Increases occurred in the number of admissions for pretrial felons charged for property offenses or drug offenses. For pretrial misdemeanants, increases occurred in the number charged for property offenses.

<u>Item 4</u>: The variance in the number of inmate releases resulted from the underestimation in the number of pretrial admissions used to derive the number of inmate releases.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to a change in reporting methodology and an underestimated planned figure for initial classifications and reclassifications completed.

 $\underline{\text{Item 9}}\text{: } \text{The variance is attributed to the closure of the Pineline program and less inmate participation in the correctional industries program.}$

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 408 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010108

| | FISCAL | YEAR 2002-03 | | TH | HREE MONTHS E | NDED 9-30-03 | | | 1 | NINE MON | THS ENDING 6- | 30-0 | 4 | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---------------|----|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---------|--|---|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | į ± | CHANGE | 1 % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± | CHANGE | 1 9 |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | 1 | | | | i | | | | | | | 1 |
| DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 68.0 2,522 | 56.0 2,769 | - 12.0 247 | | 69.0 604 | 64.0 604 | - | 5.0 | 7 | 69.0 2,165 | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 68.0 2,522 | 56.0 2,769 | - 12.0 247 | | 69.0 604 | 64.0 604 | | 5.0 | 7 | 69.0 2,165 | | | | |
| | | • | | | FISCA | L YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | i | ···· | <u>i </u> |
| | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | l ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | | CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT 2. % INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC 3. % INMATES COMPLETING VOCATAL 4. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSELG, 6. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSELG, 6. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECT! 7. % INMATES COMPLETING COMMUNIT' 8. % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCADT 9. % INMATES TEST POSITIVE ON UR 10. # MAN-HOURS CONTRIBUTED BY COI | PROGRAMS DR OJT PROGRAM PROGRAM /TREATMENT PRO NL INDUSTRIES Y WORKLINE PRO IN HIGH/GRTST INALYSIS TEST | DGS PROGS DGS CATEGS | | | 15 20 41 79 23 80 3 | 13 20 45 80 20 80 15 6 | - + + - + + - | 2 4 1 3 12 6 4,311 | 13 10 1 13 400 *** | 17 25 25 85 9 80 5 1 8,400 | 13 20 45 80 20 80 10 | - + + + | 4 5 20 5 11 5 1 4,665 | 122 100 |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION | | | | | 179 | 144 | <u> </u> | 35 | 20 | 179 | 179 | | | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # PRETRIAL ADMISSIONS (NEW & 7 2. # SENTENCED ADMISSIONS (NEW, TRSI 3. # INMATES TRANSFER'D TO LOWER 4. # INMATES RELEASED 5. # INIT CLASSFCTN & RECLASSFCTI 6. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN ACC 7. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VOC | FRS, TECH VIOL & HIGHER SECU IS COMPLETED ADEMIC PROGS | .ATORS) JR FAC | | | 417 305 96 612 151 45 | 329 338 76 613 129 25 | + + | 88 33 20 1 22 20 | 21 11 21 15 | 417 305 103 619 150 | 417 305 103 619 135 30 | | 15 20 | • |

PROGRAM TITLE: Kauai Community Correctional Center

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The expenditure variance in fiscal year 2002-03 is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increasing operational requirements.

FY 2004

The variances occurring in fiscal year 2003-04 is attributed to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- <u>Item 2</u>: The variance in the percent of inmates completing academic programs is due to fewer inmates' willingness to commit to a long period of preparation to get their general equivalency diploma (GED).
- <u>Item 4</u>: The variance is due to more successful completions of the Life Time Stand program than originally planned.
- <u>Item 6</u>: The variance in the percent of inmates employed by correctional industries program is due to the cancellation of a Department of Education (DOE) project in December 2002 to refurbish classroom chairs.
- <u>Item 8</u>: The variance is due to an increased inmate population, which produced higher tension amongst the inmates and resulted in more misconduct.
- Item 9: The variance in the percent of inmates who tested positive on urinalysis testing is due to an increase in the inmate population, and increased testing of the supervised release inmates.
- <u>Item 10</u>: The variance is due to: (a) The temporary suspension of the Community Workline program, due to the restructuring of the Life Time Stand Program; and (b) An overestimation of anticipated Community Workline projects.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance in the average facility population resulted from a smaller than planned number of prison inmates and pretrial felons.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 1: The variance in the number of pretrial admissions resulted from a decrease in the number of admissions for pretrial felons and pretrial misdemeanants. Decreases occurred in the number of admissions for pretrial felons charged for property offenses, violent offenses, or drug offenses. For pretrial misdemeanants, decreases occurred for the number charged for abuse of household member or criminal contempt of court.
- <u>Item 2</u>: The variance in the number of sentenced admissions resulted from the decrease in the number of admissions for parole violators and sentenced felons.
- <u>Item 3</u>: The variance in the number of inmates transferred resulted from the decrease in the number of transfers of sentenced felons.
- <u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to fewer inmates were transferred out of Kauai Community Correctional Center (KCCC) than planned. This reduced the number of classifications completed.
- <u>Item 6</u>: The variance in the number of inmates participating in academic programs is due to fewer inmates' willingness to commit to a long period of preparation to get their GED.
- <u>Item 7</u>: The variance is due to the reorganization of the Lifetime Stand and re-implementation of the phase concept, which made it more difficult for inmates to be granted vocational opportunities and required KCCC to be more selective.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance in the number of inmates employed by correctional industries is due to a higher than anticipated rotation of inmates in order to find workers appropriate for the DOE project.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61

11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 409

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010109

| | FISCAL Y | EAR 2002-03 | } | i | TH | IREE MONTHS EI | IDED 9-30-03 | l | | i i | NINE MONTH | HS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------|---|-----------------|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± C | HANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | <u> </u> | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED I | STIMATED | ļ ± | CHANGE | 1 9 |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | 1 | | | 4 4 m w m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m | | | | | | | |
| PERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 137.0 5,419 | 118.0 5,165 | - - | 19.0 254 | 14 5 | 137.0 1,224 | 121.0 1,224 | | 16.0 | 12 | 137.0 4,157 | 137.0 4,157 | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 137.0 5,419 | 118.0 5,165 | <u>-</u> - | 19.0 254 | 14 5 | 137.0 1,224 | 121.0 1,224 | | 16.0 | 12 | 137.0 4,157 | 137.0 4,157 | | 7 THE SEC. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | |
| | | | | | | FISCAI | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR 2 | 2003-04 | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | | ! | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ¦ ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED E | STIMATED | ± | CHANGE | ; % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF ESCAPES FROM CONFINEMENT 2. % INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC 3. % INMATES COMPLETING VOCATHL OF 4. % INMATES COMPLETING FURLOUGH 5. % INMATES COMPLETING COUNSELGH 6. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTN 7. % INMATES COMPLETING COMMUNITY 8. % INMATES H/SANC FOR MISCHOT I 9. % INMATES TEST POSITIVE ON URI 10. # MAN-HOURS CONTRIBUTED BY COM | PROGRAMS R OJT PROGRAM PROGRAM TREATMENT PRO L INDUSTRIES MORKLINE PRO N HIGH/GRTST NALYSIS TEST | GS PROGS GS CATEGS | | | | 57 75 60 70 30 20 10 15,000 | 60 71 46 81 12 28 14 32,644 | + + - + + + | 3 4 14 11 18 8 4 17,644 | 5 5 23 16 60 40 40 118 | 60 75 60 70 10 30 20 10 | 55 70 60 70 35 30 15 30,000 | - + + + | 5 5 10 5 10 5 20,000 | 100 17 50 |
| ART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION | | | | | - | 373 | 336 | - | 37 | 10 | 380 | 380 | | | |
| ART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # PRETRIAL ADMISSIONS (NEW & T 2. # SENTENCED ADMSSNS (NEW, TRSF 3. # INMATES TRANSFER'D TO LOWER 4. # INMATES RELEASED 5. # INIT CLASSFCTN & RECLASSFCTN 6. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN ACA 7. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN ACA 8. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN ACA | RS, TECH VIOL. & HIGHER SECUI S COMPLETED DEMIC PROGS /OJT PROGS | R FAC | | | | 175 340 202 232 315 240 160 | 104 263 93 277 410 245 | - + + + - | 71 77 109 45 95 5 | 41 23 54 19 30 2 | 175 344 206 306 315 250 | 175 344 206 306 425 250 160 | + - | 110 10 | 6 |
| 8. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN COU 9. # INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORR IND 10. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN FUR | UST PROG | OGS | | | 1 1 1 1 | 400 30 0 | 2 32 179 | - | 168 121 | 42 40 | 425 68 300 | 450 300 | + | 25 68 | |

PROGRAM TITLE: Women's Community Correctional Center

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003

The variances occurring in fiscal year 2002-03 were due to employee turnover, and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2004:

The variance occurring in fiscal year 2003-04 are attributed to employee turnover, and recruitment difficulties

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- <u>Item 4</u>: The variance in the percent of inmates completing furlough programs is due to inmates violating the conditions of their furlough contracts.
- <u>Items 5</u>: The variance is attributed to the increase in the number of inmates who chose to complete treatment programs to meet the conditions of the Hawaii Paroling Authority.
- Item 7: The variance is due to several factors: (a) Inmates remained in the program for longer periods because they were ineligible for transitional programming; (b) There was a significant increase in transitional programming which limited the number of inmate that were referred for community service worklines; and (c) Increases in minimum sentences by the Hawaii Paroling Authority limited the number of inmates eligible for these programs.
- <u>Item 8</u>: The variance is attributed to inmate overcrowding that impacts the level of tension at the facility and results in higher levels of misconducts.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance is due to an increase in inmates that were assigned to community transition programs. This increase is synonymous with increased community use of crystal methamphetamine and the facility's increased efforts to address overpopulation through transition programming.
- <u>Item 10</u>: The variance is due to an increase in requests to have the women offenders work on projects in the community and other state agencies.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance is due to an overestimation in the number of pretrial felons, sentenced misdemeanants, and probation violators.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- <u>Item 1</u>: The variance in the number of pretrial admissions resulted from the decrease in the number of admissions for pretrial felons.
- <u>Item 2</u>: The variance in the number of sentenced admissions resulted from the decrease in the number of admissions for sentenced misdemeanants and probation violators.
- <u>Item 3</u>: The variance in the number of inmates transferred resulted from decreases in the number of transfers for sentenced felons and probation violators.
- <u>Item 4</u>: The variance in the number of inmates released resulted from a significant increase in the number of releases for sentenced felons and parole violators.
- <u>Item 5</u>: The variance in the number of initial classifications and reclassifications completed is due to the addition of staff.
- <u>Item 8:</u> The variance is due to a number of factors: (a) An increased number of inmates refused to participate in higher-level treatment, claiming they did not have serious substance abuse problems; (b) Staffing shortages; and (c) Funding limitations.
- <u>Item 10</u>: The variance is due to a decrease in the number of inmates deemed appropriate for participation in furlough programs.

INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 410 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010110

REPORT V61 11/24/03

| | FISCAL | /EAR 2002-03 | 3 | | TI | IREE MONTHS EN | IDED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MONT | HS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---|--|---|----|---|----------------------------|--|--|-------|--|----------------|
| , 1 1 | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | <u>+</u> CH | IANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | | CHANGE | <u></u> % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | |
| PERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 44.0 2,016 | 36.0 1,922 | - | 8.0 94 | | 48.0 468 | 42.0 468 | - | 6.0 | 13 | 48.0 1,798 | 48.0 1,798 | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 44.0 2,016 | 36.0 1,922 | - - - | 8.0 94 | | 48.0 468 | 42.0 468 | | 6.0 | 13 | 48.0 1,798 | 48.0 1,798 | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| | | | | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | | | - |
| | | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | į ± | CHANGE | % |
| ART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES APPEA 2. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT C 3. %COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN P 4. % OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE ALTE 5. #BED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU ISC 6. %COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN P | HARGED W/NEW ROG CASES CO RNATIVE SENT PROG/INTERV | OFFENSE MPLETED ENCES ENTION | | | | 90 95 45 80 275,000 45 | 90 99 36 74 262,786 33 | + | 4 9 6 12,214 12 | 4 20 8 4 27 | 90 95 43 80 280,000 | 90 95 43 80 280,000 35 | | 10 | 22 |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL OFFENDERS 2. # OF SENTENCED OFFENDERS WITH | COMMUNITY STA | ATUS | | | | 875 394 | 908 281 | • | 33 113 | 4 29 | 826 309 | 826 309 | 1 | | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # PRETRIAL INVESTIGATIONS INIT 2. NUMBER OF BAIL REPORTS COMPLET 3. # INTAKE SCREENING CONDUCTED 4. # PRETRIAL CASES PLACED UNDER 5. # SENTENCED CASES PLACED UNDER 6. # OF CASES PLACED ON COMMUNITY | ED ISC SUPERVIS | SION | - | | AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND | 10,000 9,000 10,000 6,500 100 5,500 | 11,002 10,398 6,359 4,538 81 5,221 | + | 1,002 1,398 3,641 1,962 19 279 | 10 16 36 30 19 | 10,500 9,100 10,500 7,000 150 5,500 | 11,500 10,500 7,000 5,000 100 5,500 | + + | 1,000 1,400 3,500 2,000 50 | 15 33 29 |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: Intake Service Centers

09 01 01 10 PSD 410

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The expenditure variance in fiscal year 2002-03 is attributed to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2004:

The variance occurring in fiscal year 2003-04 is attributed to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Items 3 and 6</u>: The decrease is due to an overestimation. Many of the offenders were poor candidates for the Community Service Restitution Program (CSRP).

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

There is no significant variance to report in this target group.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

<u>Item 1</u>: The increase in the number of pretrial investigations initiated is due to an underestimation. This measure indicates that more offenders were arrested than originally projected.

<u>Item 2</u>: The variance in the number of bail reports completed is due to an underestimation of the planned figure.

Item 3: The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned figure. The planned figure accounted for the transfer of the CSRP function from Hawaii Intake Service Center and Maui Intake Service Center to the Judiciary. However, the transfer is being held in abeyance.

<u>Item 4</u>: The decrease in the number of pretrial cases placed on ISC supervision is due to the reduction in the number of supervised releases granted by the Courts at the bail hearings.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance in the number of sentenced cases placed on ISC supervision is due to fewer qualified offenders for alternative sentencing.

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 420
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010111

| | FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 | | т | HREE MONTHS EI | NDED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MON | THS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
|---|---|---------------|----------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| | BUDGETED ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | <u>t</u> | CHANGE | ¦ % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | - | i ———————————————————————————————————— | | i | | | | 900 mili sun von dur | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | : : : | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 198.5 159.0 16,548 15,924 | - 39. - 62 | | 196.5 4,970 | 158.0 4,970 | - | 38. <i>5</i> | 20 | 196.5 11,669 | 196.5 11,669 | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 198.5 159.0 16,548 15,924 | - 39. - 62 | • | 196.5 4,970 | 158.0 4,970 | - | 38.5 | 20 | 196.5 11,669 | 196.5 11,669 | | TO THE BOTH AND SHEET WAS AND SHEET | |
| | | | - | FISCA | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | <u></u> i | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | i | | · |
| | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ¦ ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± | CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % COMPL COUNS/TRIMT PROGS FOR 2. % INMATES TESTING POSITIVE ON 3. % INMATES COMPLETING ACAD, VOI 4. % INMATES COMPLETING PERSONAL 5. % INMATES EMPLOYED IN CORRCTN 6. % INMATES COMPLETING SUBS ABU | SEX OFFENDERS URINALYSIS TESTS C OR OJT PROGRAMS IMPROVEMENT PROGS L INDUSTRIES PROGS | | | 15 4 50 75 20 40 | 24 5 60 77 10 39 | + + + + - | 9 1 10 2 10 | 60 25 20 3 50 | 15 5 60 80 20 40 | 5 60 80 13 | | 7 | 35 |
| 7. % INMATES PARTICIPATING IN RE 8. % MEALS MEETG REQRMTS OF AMER 9. # GRIEVANCES, SUITS RELATED TO 10. REVENUES GENERATED BY CORRECT | LIG SVCS & ACTIVITIES DIETETIC ASSN O ACCESS TO COURTS | | | 75 100 25 5,500 | 72 100 55 4,175 | - + - | 30 1,325 | 120 24 | 75 100 25 5,500 | 40 75 100 25 3,925 | - | 1,575 | 29 |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION 2. NUMBER OF ADULT OFFENDERS ADM | ITTED (NEW) | | | 4,277 10,598 | 3,942 10,611 | - + | 335 13 | 8 | 4,579 10,811 | 4,579 10,811 | | | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # INMATES ADMITTED TO SEX OFF 2. # INMATES ADMITTED TO SUBSTAM 3. # URINALYSIS TESTS ADMINISTER 4. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VO | CE ABUSE PROGS ED Y OR ACAD PROGS | | | 65 1,100 15,300 1,500 | 53 1,044 13,146 2,420 409 | 1 - 1 + 1 | 12 56 2,154 920 91 | 18 5 14 61 18 | 70 1,100 15,300 3,300 1,500 | 1,100 | - | 300 1,000 | 9 |
| 6. # MEALS SERVED (PER DAY) 7. # INMATES PARTICIPATING IN LI 8. # INMATES ATTDG RELIG SVCS/CO 9. # VOLUNTEER HOURS PROVIDED TO | BRARY PROGRAMS UNS/STUDY CLASSES INMATES | | | 15,500 44,000 14,400 63,500 | 14,240 56,000 14,000 49,571 | - | 1,260 12,000 400 13,929 | 8 27 3 22 | 16,000 44,000 14,400 | 14,500 44,000 14,400 55,000 | | 1,500 1,500 8,500 | 9 |

PROGRAM TITLE: Corrections Program Services

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003

The variance is due to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties, and collective bargaining augmentation.

FY 2004:

The variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Item 1</u>: The 2002 Legislature authorized additional funds for sex offender treatment. These funds resulted in more sex offender treatment slots available for inmates. Also, fewer lockdowns at the Kulani Correctional Facility resulted in less delays in the delivery of services.

<u>Item 2</u>: The variance is due to an increase in the number of positive urinalysis tests from the supervised release population.

<u>Item 3</u>: The variance is due to a significant increase in enrollment at the Halawa Correctional Facility and the inclusion of data for inmates who completed education programs in out-of-state facilities.

Items 5 and 10: The decreases in the percent of inmates employed by Correctional Industries (CI) programs and CI revenues are due to the downturn in the local economy and the transfer of inmates to out-of-state facilities which affected the CI inmate workforce.

Item 9: The variance is due to more inmates utilizing the grievance system to voice their concerns over perceived inadequacies of law library programs. The grievances filed focused on complaints about law library scheduling and the timely completion of copying of legal documents.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

There were no significant variances in the program target groups.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance is due to unanticipated slow pace of the two Halawa Correctional Facility therapy groups, which in turn postpones entry for new sex offenders. This is attributed to lockdowns at the facility.

Item 3: The variance is due to an oversight in the monitoring of the urinalysis testing program.

Item 4: The variance is due to a significant increase in enrollment with the introduction of post secondary distance learning at Halawa, Waiawa, and Kulani Correctional Facilities, Women's and Maui Community Correctional Centers. The data also included inmate participation in education programs in out-of-state facilities.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to a decrease in the number of available inmates that qualify for vocational training (minimum or community custody status). Most of the qualified inmates are required to participate in substance abuse treatment, sex offender treatment, or are in therapeutic community settings.

<u>Item 7</u>: The variance is due to an increase in recreational library users. Due to the increase in population, library staff continue to make every effort to provide recreational library access using a combination of: scheduled inmate visits to the facility library, filling written requests for specific subjects, and/or providing a random selection of books to satellite housing program areas.

<u>Item 9</u>: The variance is attributed to an increase in program shut downs due to staff shortages at the facilities, decrease in welfare to work referrals, and decrease in the number of volunteers statewide.

PROGRAM TITLE:

HEALTH CARE

PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 421
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010112

| | FISCAL YEA | R 2002-03 |) | į TI | IREE MONTHS EN | DED 9-30-03 | | | NINE MONTHS ENDING 6-30-04 | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------|---|---|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|------------|------|--|--|--|
| | BUDGETED AC | TUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL. | ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | | | | |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | ! ! ! | | | | - | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 160.9 9,904 | 127.4 15,297 | - 33. <i>5</i> 5,393 | | 160.9 2,664 | 130.4 2,664 | - | 30.5 | 19 | 160.9 10,662 | 160.9 10,790 | 128 | 1 | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 160.9 9,904 | 127.4 15,297 | - 33.5 5,393 | • | 160.9 2,664 | 130.4 2,664 | - | 30.5 | 19 | 160.9 10,662 | 160.9 10,790 | 128 | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 | | | | | FISCAL YEAR 2003-04 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | % | | | |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. %INMATE POP REC COMPL HTH APPRSL W/IN 14 DAYS ADM 2. %INMATE POPLTN PROVIDED INTERVAL PHYSICAL EXAMS 3. %INMATE POP RECVG DENTAL EXAMS W/IN 45 DAYS ADM 4. %INMATE POP SENT OUTSIDE FOR MEDICAL CARE 5. %INMATE POP RECVG CARE FOR CHRONIC ILLNESSES 6. %INMATE POP SCREENED FOR TUBERCULOSIS INFECTION 7. %INMATE POP RECVG PREVENTIVE TREATMENT FOR TB 8. % INMATE POPULATION SEEKING HIV TESTING 9. %INMATE POP SCREENED FOR OTHER COMMUNICBLE DIS 10. %INMATE POP REC NUTRITNL COUNSLG & OTH HTH EDUC | | | | | 98 98 95 55 35 100 10 25 50 | 98 98 95 50 35 100 9 25 50 | | . 5 | 10 | 98 98 95 55 35 100 10 25 50 70 | 98 98 95 50 35 100 10 25 50 | - 5 | 9 | | | |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION 2. # ADULT OFFENDERS ADMITTED (NI | 4,277 10,598 | 3,942 10,611 | - + | 335 13 | 8 | 4,579 10,811 | 4,579 10,811 | | | | | | | | | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # INTAKE HEALTH SCREENINGS REVIEWED 2. # MEDICAL HISTORIES/PHYSICAL EXAMS COMPLETED 3. # OF DENTAL SCREENINGS COMPLETED 4. # COMPLETE DENTAL EXAMINATIONS COMPLETED 5. # OF MEDICAL VISITS (EXCLUDING PHYS EXAMS) 6. # DENTAL VISITS (EXCL SCREENINGS & EXAMS) 7. # OF MENTAL HEALTH (MH) VISITS 8. #ADMSNS TO SPEC NEEDS HSG FOR ACUTE/CHRON MH PROB 9. # OF INFIRMARY ADMISSIONS 10. # OF INMATES RECEIVING PRE-NATAL CARE | | | | | | 10,598 5,289 5,289 2,649 57,196 10,430 25,501 320 425 | ++++++ | 212 96 96 132 182 316 34 21 7 | 2 2 2 5 7 2 5 | 10,595 5,297 5,297 2,568 57,148 10,248 25,601 321 458 | 10,595 5,297 5,297 2,568 57,148 10,248 25,601 321 458 20 | | | | | |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: Health Care Division

09 01 01 12 PSD 421

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The position and expenditure variances are due to recruitment difficulties, collective bargaining augmentation, and increasing operational requirements.

FY 2004:

The variances are attributed to recruitment difficulties and collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Item 7</u>: The percent of the inmate population receiving preventive treatment for Tuberculosis was lower than planned. The variance is attributed to several factors: (a) Previous screening efforts by the Department and Department of Health may have attributed to earlier detection and treatment. Inmate who have previously received preventive treatment, would not need retreatment; (b) Some inmates were deemed not appropriate to receive preventive treatment due to their age or existing medical conditions; and (c) Inmates may have refused preventive treatment because of their fear of the treatment's potential side effects.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

There were no significant variances in the program target groups.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

There were no significant variances in the program activities.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/25/03

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090102

ENFORCEMENT

| FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 | | | } | THREE MONTHS ENDED 9-30-03 | | | | | | | NINE MONTHS ENDING 6-30-04 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------|----------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------|---|--------|------|--|--|--|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CH | ANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | <u> </u> | <u>+</u> CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | | CHANGE | ! % | | | |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | - | | | | | | | · | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | ! ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 336.5 14,797 | 303.5 13,520 | | 33.0 1,277 | | 332.0 3,153 | 295.0 3,153 | - | 37.0 | 11 | 332.0 12,674 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 336.5 14,797 | 303.5 13,520 | - | 33.0 1,277 | | 332.0 3,153 | 295.0 3,153 | | 37.0 | 11 | 332.0 12,674 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | i | | i | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | PLANNED | ACTUAL | į ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± | CHANGE | % | | | |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. "# THEFTS, BURGLARIES, PROP DAMAGE REPTD" 2. # ARRESTS MADE 3. # EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES INITIATED | | | | | | 100 30 52 | 37 37 40 | - + - | 63 7 12 | 63 23 23 | 125 30 20 | | + | 10 | 33 | | | |

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE:

PROTECTIVE SERVICES

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 501 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010201

REPORT V61 11/24/03

| | FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 | | | | | HREE MONTHS EI | IDED 9-30-03 | | | NINE MONTHS ENDING 6-30-04 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|------|---|--|--|--|
| • | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± 0 | HANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL ± | | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± CHAN | GE | % | | | |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | į | | | | | | - | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | A 45 45 47 47 47 | | | | | | | | TO A STATE OF THE | | | | | |
| PERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 114.5 5,106 | 95.5 3,554 | - - | 19.0 1,552 | | 105.0 827 | 85.0 827 | - | 20.0 | 19 | 105.0 3,962 | 105.0 3,962 | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 114.5 5,106 | 95.5 3,554 | <u></u> | 19.0 1,552 | | 105.0 827 | 85.0 827 | - | 20.0 | 19 | 105.0 3,962 | 105.0 3,962 | | | | | | |
| WATER TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL PRO | FISCA | YEAR 2002- | 03 | ***** | FISCAL YEAR 2003-04 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± CHAN | GE ¦ | % | | | |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % SERVICE TYPE CASES RESPONDE 2. % CRIMINAL CASES RESULTING IN | | | | | | 100 25 | 100 44 | + | 19 | 76 | 100 25 | 100 25 | | | | | | |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS 2. NUMBER OF STATE PERSONNEL 3. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION | | | | | | | 95 10,000 1,382,200 | + | 13,400 | 1 | 95 10,000 1,382,499 | 95 10,000 1,382,499 | | 1 | | | | |
| ART IY: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # SERVICE TYPE CASES REPORTED 2. # CRIMINAL CASES REPORTED 3. # ARRESTS MADE 4. # THREATS AGAINST GOVT OFFICL 5. # THEFTS, BURGLARIES, PROPERT 6. # PUBLIC DEMONSTNS/PROTESTS A | 900 100 30 40 100 | 820 85 37 30 37 52 | + + | 80 15 7 10 63 12 | 9 15 23 25 63 | 1,000 100 30 40 125 | 1,000 100 30 40 125 40 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. # SPECIAL DUTY ASSIGNMENTS 8. # EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES COND 9. # CASES WHERE ASST'D OTHER LA 10. # MILES PATROLLED BY MOTOR VE | 750 52 20 25,000 | 750 40 32 25,000 | -+ | 12 12 | 23 60 | 750 20 20 50,000 | 750 20 20 25,000 | | 000 | | | | | | | | | |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: Protective Services Division

09 01 02 01 PSD 501

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The variance is due to less than anticipated operating requirements for the inter-departmental transfer and federal fund accounts.

FY 2004:

The variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Item 2</u>: The variance is due to the filling of vacant deputy sheriff positions that resulted in having law enforcement personnel to effectively respond to criminal activities.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

<u>Item 3</u>: The actual State de facto population was not available. The reported actual number was based on the Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism projected resident population for 2003 and estimated visitor count derived from past trends.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 2, 4, and 5: The variances in these categories are due to a decrease in overall crime.

<u>Item 3</u>: The variance is due to the filling of vacant deputy sheriff positions that resulted in having law enforcement personnel to effectively respond to criminal activities.

<u>Item 6</u>: The variance is due to more controversial issues during the past legislative session that created demonstrations and gatherings at the State Capitol. Also, the change in administration prompted additional events using the State Capitol as the venue.

Item 8: The variance is due to an overestimated planned figure.

 $\underline{\text{Item 9}}.$ The variance is due to an increase in requests to assist other law enforcement agencies.

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 502

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010202

| | FISCAL YEAR | 2002-03 | | т | HREE MONTHS E | NDED 9-30-03 | | <u> </u> | NINE MONT | THS ENDING 6- | NINE MONTHS ENDING 6-30-04 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | BUDGETED ACT | TUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | · % | | ESTIMATED | | CHANGE ! | ! % | | | | | |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | - | | | | | | ···· | | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | er als enn enn yen enn en en en | | | 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 16.0 837 | 12.0 892 | - 4. 5 | 0 25 5 7 | 16.0 174 | 12.0 174 | - 4.0 | 25 | 16.0 829 | 16.0 829 | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 16.0 837 | 12.0 892 | - 4. 5 | | 16.0 174 | 12.0 174 | - 4.0 | 25 | 16.0 829 | 16.0 829 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | FISCAL YEAR 2003-04 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± | CHANGE ; | ¦ % | | | | | |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # REGISTRAT CONTACTS AS % TOTAL REGISTRANTS 2. %INVEST DUE TO SCHED II/HYDROCODONE PRESCPN REVUE 3. % INVESTGNS INVOLVING MED PROFESSIONALS 4. % INVESTGNS REFERRED FOR PROSECUTION 5. % INVESTGNS RESOLVED W/OUT ARREST OR PROSECUTION 6. % CASES REF FOR PROSECUTN INVLVG ASSET FORFEITURE | | | | | 100 10 10 60 40 2 | 100 18 2 66 27 1 | + 8 - 8 + 6 - 13 - 1 | 80 80 10 33 50 | 100 8 8 60 40 2 | 100 10 2 60 30 2 | + - | 2 6 10 | 75 | | | | | |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION 2. # OF REGISTRANTS OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES | | | | | | 1,382,200 4,380 | + 13,400 - 620 | 1 12 | 1,382,499 4,900 | 1,382,499 4,400 | - | 500 | 10 | | | | | |
| PART IY: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE REGISTR 2. # PRECURSOR CHEMICAL PERMITS P 3. # SCHED II & HYDROCODONE PRESC 4. # REPORTED INVESTIGATIONS 5. # REG DENIED, REVOKED, SUSPEND 6. # INVESTIGATIONS REFERRED FOR 7. NUMBER OF ARRESTS MADE 8. # DOSAGE UNITS OF CONTROLLED S 9. # EDUCATION & TRAINING SESSION 10. # INVSTGNS REFERRED TO OTH LAW | 5,000 30 946,005 600 3 350 250 18,000 40 | 4,380 24 1,004,358 509 2 337 338 9,423 45 | - 620 - 6 + 58,353 - 91 - 13 + 88 - 8,577 + 5 - 12 | 12 20 6 15 33 4 35 48 13 | 4,900 35 950,000 625 1 350 275 18,000 40 | 4,400 25 1,000,000 525 1 350 300 10,000 50 | - + - + + | 500 10 50,000 100 25 8,000 10 | 29 5 16 9 44 25 | | | | | | | | | |

PROGRAM TITLE: Narcotics Enforcement Division

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003

The variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increasing operating requirements.

FY 2004:

The variance is attributed to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 2: The variance is due to increased regulations at the pharmacies.
- <u>Item 3</u>: The variance is due to the Division's increased training of physicians and medical professionals on the diversion of pharmaceutical controlled substance prescriptions and increased physician inspections.
- <u>Item 4</u>: The variance is due to an increase in cases referred to Narcotics Enforcement Division (NED) by the other law enforcement agencies under the Department and the Corrections Division.
- <u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to an increase in the number of cases originating from the Airport Detail and Corrections that did not allow the investigator the opportunity to divert the suspect from the criminal justice system.
- Item 6: The variance is due to fewer cases referred for prosecution that involved asset forfeiture.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

Item 2: The variance is due to a decrease in the number of persons and entities requesting controlled substance registrations.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- <u>Item 1</u>: The variance is due to a decrease in the number of persons and entities requesting controlled substance registrations in FY 2003.
- <u>Item 2</u>: The variance is due to many manufacturers of dietary supplements reformulating their products to be in compliance with the limited exemptions in Chapter 329-64(a)(5) HRS. This resulted in less regulated chemical permits during the reporting period.
- Item 4: The variance in the number of reported investigations is due to NED experiencing a staffing shortage. NED had four vacant investigator positions during the course of the fiscal year.
- <u>Item 5</u>: In FY 2003, two physicians voluntarily surrendered their controlled substance registration. The Division attributes the variance to its excellent working relationship with the medical community and increased registrant education.
- Item 7: The variance is due to the increase in cases referred by the Airport Sheriff Detail.
- <u>Item 8</u>: The variance is due to the Division's direction to pharmacies and hospitals to use a Drug Enforcement Agency approved reverse distribution site to destroy their outdated and contaminated controlled substances. Because of this redirection, NED had over projected its numbers in this category.
- <u>Item 9</u>: The variance is due to an increase in the number of sessions conducted by the Division that focuses on educating teachers and employees of the Department of Education, medical professionals, and the general public on the problems Hawaii faces with pharmaceutical controlled substance diversion, regulated chemicals use in clandestine laboratories, emergence of rave drugs, and the focus on crystal methamphetamine (ICE).
- Item 10: The variance is due to an increase in the number of complaints that result in joint investigations rather than referrals to other agencies. The sharing of information between the Division, county and federal law enforcement agencies has resulted in more arrests and better cooperation among participating agencies.

REPORT V61 11/25/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

SHERIFF

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 503 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010203

| | FISCAL YEAR | 2002-03 | } | <u> </u> | TH | IREE MONTHS EI | IDED 9-30-03 | | | NINE MONTHS ENDING 6-30-04 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--------|---|--|----------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|------|--|------|--|--|
| | BUDGETED ACT | UAL | ± CH | ANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | 1 % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ļ ± | CHANGE | ! | | |
| ART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | 1 | | | | | | i i | | | | | i | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 206.0 8,854 | 196.0 9,074 | - | 10.0 220 | 5 2 | 211.0 2,152 | 198.0 2,152 | | 13.0 | 6 | 211.0 7,883 | 211.0 7,883 | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 206.0 8,854 | 196.0 9,074 | _ | 10.0 220 | 5 2 | 211.0 2,152 | 198.0 2,152 | | 13.0 | 6 | 211.0 7,883 | 211.0 7,883 | | anti- anti- anti- anti- anti- anti- | | | |
| | | FISCA | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± | CHANGE | . % | | |
| ART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % OF GRAND JURY MARRANTS EXECUTED 2. % OF PAROLE MARRANTS EXECUTED 3. % OF TRAFFIC MARRANTS EXECUTED 4. # ESCAPES DURG TRANSPORT OF P | D | , | | | | 77 63 17 | 50 42 5 | | 27 21 12 | 35 33 71 | 79 65 19 | 52 44 7 | | 27 21 12 | 3 | | |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION 2. # STATE JUDGES 3. # FAMILY COURT HEARINGS 4. # CRIMINAL HEARINGS 5. # PERSONS IN CUSTODY REQ DETENTN/TRANSPRT/PROCESS | | | | | | 1,368,800 80 1363025 64000 50,000 | 1,382,200 NA NA NA 29,755 | + | 13,400 | 1 40 | 1,382,499 80 1374934 64000 52,000 | 1,382,499 NA NA NA 30,618 | N | 21,382 | 4 | | |
| PART IY: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # INCIDNTS REL TO SECUR OF JUD FACIL REPTD 2. # CRIM & FAM CT HRGS REQ COURTRM SECUR BY DEPUTIES 3. # BOOKINGS COMPLETED 4. # PERSONS DETAINED IN DISTRICT/CIRCUIT COURTS 5. #PERSONS TRANSPORTED INTRASTATE/INTRACIRCUIT 6. # PERSONS TRANSPORTED INTERSTATE | | | | | | 1,700 30,000 12,000 30,000 10,000 | 31 18,462 5,262 29,755 3,313 | - | 1,669 11,538 6,738 245 6,687 | 98 38 56 1 67 | 2,050 32,700 12,850 33,100 11,015 | 32 18,997 5,415 30,618 3,409 | | 2,018 13,703 7,435 2,482 7,606 | | | |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: Sheriff Division

09 01 02 03 PSD 503

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increasing operating requirements.

FY 2004:

The variance is attributed to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Items 1, 2 and 3:</u> The percent of grand jury warrants, parole warrants, and traffic warrants executed were lower than planned. The variances are attributed to staffing shortages and increasing demand for law enforcement services.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

Items 2, 3 and 4: The data was not available when this report was compiled.

Item 5: The variance is due to staffing constraints which impacted data collection.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

<u>Items 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7:</u> The variances are due to staffing constraints which impacted data collection.

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

PAROLE SUPERVISION AND COUNSELING

PROGRAM-ID:

| | FISCAL Y | EAR 2002-03 | 3 | | THREE MONTHS E | NDED 9-30-03 | | | { ! ! ! | NINE MONT | THS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--|--|---------------|----------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | + CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | į ± | CHANGE | ! % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ¦ ± | CHANGE | ¦ % |
| PART 1: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | , | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | · | | | | | | - - - - - |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 46.0 2,089 | 41.0 2,284 | | 0 11 5 9 | 46.0 474 | 44.0 474 | - | 2.0 | 4 | 46.0 1,916 | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 46.0 2,089 | 41.0 2,284 | | 0 11 9 9 | | 44.0 474 | _ | 2.0 | 4 | 46.0 1,916 | | | | |
| | Terresona. | | | | FISCA | L YEAR 2002- | 03 | | ļ ! | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u> </u> | | i |
| | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ļ ± | CHANGE | ! % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNE 2. % INMATES GRANTED EARLY PAROL 3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINA 4. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL | D TO PRISON E RELEASE L DISCHARGE (Y | RS) | | | 400 15 4 20 | 420 4 4 14 | + - | 20 11 6 | 5 73 30 | 400 15 4 20 | 410 8 5 16 | + - + - | 10 7 1 4 | 47 25 |

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 611
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010301

| | FISCAL \ | /EAR 2002-03 |) | i | TH | REE MONTHS E | NDED 9-30-03 | | | ! | NINE MONTHS | ENDING 6- | 30-04 | • | |
|---|---|--------------|---------|---------------------------|----|--|--|-----|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANG | SE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED ES | TIMATED | ± | CHANGE | % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | ¦ | | - |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 2.0 196 | 2.0 195 | _ | 1 | 1 | 2.0 40 | 2.0 40 | | | | 2.0 156 | 2.0 156 | ! ! ! ! | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 2.0 196 | 2.0 195 | | 1 | 1 | 2.0 40 | 2.0 40 | | | | 2.0 156 | 2.0 156 | | ***** | |
| | | | | | | FISCAI | YEAR 2002- | 03 | , | <u> </u> | FISCAL YEAR 20 | 03-04 | <u> </u> | - MAS | |
| | | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED ES | TIMATED | ± | CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % INMATES GRANTED EARLY PAROLE 2. AVERAGE TIME BEFORE NEXT PAROL 3. AVERAGE TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE 4. % INMATES GRANTED PAROLE AT EX 5. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RET | E REVIEW (MON FINAL DISCHAR PIRATN OF MIN | GE (YR) | | | | 15 12 4 50 400 | 4 9 4 70 420 | + + | 11 3 20 20 | 73 25 40 5 | 15 12 4 50 400 | 8 10 5 60 410 | - + + | 7 2 1 10 10 | 17 25 20 |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AV # OF SENTENCED FELONS IN ST. 2. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER HAWAI | ATE PRISON SY I JURISDICTIO | S N | | | | 3,347 2,100 | 3,040 1,982 | | 307 118 | 9 6 | 3,618 2,100 | 3,300 2,000 | | 318 100 | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. NO. OF MINIMUM SENTENCES FIXED 2. # PERSONS CONSIDERED FOR PAROL 3. NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED 4. NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED 5. NUMBER OF PAROLES REVOKED 6. # APPL FOR REDUCTN OF MIN SENTI 7. NO. OF PARODN APPLICATIONS CON | E AT MIN EXP ENCE CONSIDER | | | | | 3,000 1,000 1,100 600 450 250 | 3,180 1,583 1,097 750 420 218 | ++ | 180 583 3 150 30 32 | 6 58 25 7 13 20 | 3,000 1,000 1,100 600 450 250 | 3,050 1,400 1,100 700 425 230 80 | +++ | 50 400 100 25 20 10 | 17 6 8 |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: Adult Parole Determination

09 01 03 01 PSD 611

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

No significant variance.

FY 2004:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance in the percent of inmates granted early parole release is due to a dramatic decrease in the number of compassionate release requests reviewed/approved by the Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) Parole Board.

<u>Item 2</u>: The variance in the average length of time before next parole review is a direct result of prison overcrowding. The HPA Board reviewed cases for parole earlier than planned in an effort to assist the Department of Public Safety.

<u>Item 4</u>: The variance is attributed to more inmates being granted parole at the expiration of their minimum sentences than in the past. In an effort to assist the Department of Public Safety, inmates were granted parole and ordered to participate in appropriate programs designed to assist them with re-integration and/or substance abuse treatment.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

<u>Item 2</u>: The increase in the number of inmates considered for parole at the expiration of their minimum sentence(s) is due to the increase in the prison population over the part year.

<u>Items 4 and 6:</u> The increase in the number of parole denials and decrease in the number of applications for reduction of minimum sentences are a direct result of inmates' inability to complete all of the recommended programs prior to the expiration of their minimum sentences, misconducts, and unacceptable/incomplete parole plans.

<u>Item 7</u>: The variance in the number of pardon applications considered is due to a staffing shortage.

<u>Item 9</u>: The variance is due to turnover of the chair and members of the HPA Parole Board. Fewer informal inmate interviews were scheduled.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61

11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD - 612

| · | FISCAL Y | AR 2002-03 | | i | TH | REE MONTHS EN | IDED 9-30-03 | | | ! ! | NINE MONT | HS ENDING 6- | -3004 | • | |
|---|--|---------------|--------|------------|----------|---|---|---------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | BUDGETED / | ACTUAL | ± CHAN | IGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ļ ± | CHANGE | ! % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | 1 | | | | | | i | | | | | - | ··· | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | i 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| PPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 44.0 1,893 | 39.0 2,089 | - | 5.0 196 | 11 10 | 44.0 434 | 42.0 434 | | 2.0 | 5 | 44.0 1,760 | 44.0 1,760 | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 44.0 1,893 | 39.0 2,089 | - | 5.0 196 | 11 10 | 44.0 434 | 42.0 434 | - | 2.0 | 5 | 44.0 1,760 | 44.0 1,760 | | | |
| | * | | ···· | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | -l | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | į | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | | CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % REC RELATG TO PAROLE RELEAS 2. # PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED T 3. AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION COLLECT 4. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINA 5. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL | E ACCEPTED BY E O PRISON ED L DISCHARGE (YE | | | | | 90 400 40,000 4 20 | 90 420 53,268 4 14 | + + | 20 13,268 6 | 5 33 | 90 400 40,000 4 20 | 90 410 48,500 5 16 | + + | 10 8,500 1 4 | 21 25 |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. # PAROLEES IN HAWAII FROM OTH 2. # PAROLEES UNDER HI JURISDICT 3. # PAROLEES UNDER HI JURISDICT 4. AV NO. SENTENCED INMATES IN S | N OUT OF STATE N W/IN STATE | | | | | 45 200 2,100 3,347 | 40 165 1,984 3,000 | | 5 35 116 347 | 11 18 6 10 | 45 200 2,100 3,618 | 45 180 2,050 3,100 | - | 20 50 518 | 2 |
| PART IY: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # PREPAROLE INVESTIGATIONS CO 2. NO. OF ARREST WARRANTS ISSUED 3. NO. OF PAROLE DISCHARGES RECO 4. NO. OF PARDON INVESTIGATIONS 5. NO. OF INTERSTATE COMPACT AGE 6. # PAROLEES UNDER SPECIALIZED 7. # PAROLEES UNDER INTENSIVE SU 8. NO. OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING | MMENDED CONDUCTED EEMENTS SUPERVISION | | | | | 1,700 500 300 90 260 300 75 | 1,908 693 245 72 205 283 68 | + + | 208 193 55 18 55 17 | 12 39 18 20 21 6 | 1,700 500 300 90 260 300 | 1,850 650 260 80 220 295 | + + | 150 150 40 10 40 5 | 30 13 11 15 2 |

PROGRAM TITLE: Adult Parole Supervision and Counseling

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The variance is due to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties, increasing operational costs, and collective bargaining augmentation.

FY 2004:

There is no significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Item 3</u>: The variance is due to a concerted effort on the part of the Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) and the Crime Victims Compensation Commission to increase the amount of restitution collected from the parole population.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to a greater number of parolees finding temporary employment. The increase in temporary jobs was mostly for unskilled labor in the construction industry.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

Item 1: The variance is due to less inter-state compact cases approved for supervision in Hawaii from other jurisdictions. The increase in the number of cases rejected represents the HPA's attempts to ensure strict compliance with the inter-state compact agreement.

<u>Item 2</u>: The variance is due to HPA's strict guidelines that requires all parolees considered for inter-state compact with another jurisdiction comply with all of the terms and conditions of the inter-state compact rules of the receiving state.

<u>Item 4</u>: The variance is due to the Judiciary's efforts to place non-violent convicted felons on probation whenever possible, without affecting public safety.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance is due to an increase in the number of short term sentences, and the increase in the prison population.

Item 2: The variance is attributed to increases in parole violations due to illicit drug use.

<u>Item 3</u>: The variance in the number of parole discharges recommended is due to an increase in the number of parolees who are repeat offenders, committed multiple offenses, and had long criminal histories. In the interest of public safety, these parolees go to more intensive supervision and counseling before parole discharge is recommended.

Item 4: The variance in the number of pardon investigations is due to a staffing shortage.

<u>Item 5</u>: The variance is due to several factors: (a) States are critical when reviewing and accepting cases for inter-state compact transfer; and (b) HPA is strict when reviewing applications for out-of-state supervision.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61

11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE: CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION
PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 613
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090104

| | FISCAL | YEAR 2002-03 |) | TI | IREE MONTHS EN | IDED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MON | THS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|----------|----|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
|] | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | l ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ¦ ± | CHANGE | % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | · | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | ! ! ! ! | | | | | | | |
| DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 6.0 1,624 | | - 431 | 27 | 6.0 126 | 6.0 126 | i i i i i | | | 6.0 1,546 | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 6.0 1,624 | | - 431 | 27 | 6.0 126 | 6.0 126 | | | | 6.0 1,546 | | | | |
| | | | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u> </u> | | <u>i</u> |
| | • | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± | CHANGE | 1 % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AV TIME FM RECPT OF APP TO MAI 2. AV TIME FROM AWARD TO DATE PUR 3. % CLAIMANTS WHO RECEIVE COMPEN 4. AVERAGE COMPENSATION AWARD MAD | ICH ORD PREPR ISATION | | | | 20 4 85 1,200 | 24 4 82 1,336 | + - + | 4 3 136 | 20 4 11 | 20 4 85 1,000 | 4 85 | | | |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. # PERSONS STATEMIDE WHO MAY BE | ELIG FOR CO | MPENSATN | | | 100,000 | 100,000 | | | | 100,000 | 100,000 | 1 | | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. NUMBER OF CLAIMS RECEIVED 2. DOLLAR VALUE OF CLAIMS RECEIVE 3. NUMBER OF HEARINGS HELD | | | | | 1,275 1,299,600 6 | 945 1,034,229 6 | - | 330 265,371 | 26 20 | 1,462 1,192,000 8 | 1,000,000 8 | - | 262 192,000 | 16 |
| 4. NUMBER OF COMPENSATION AMARDS 5. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE MEETI 6. NUMBER OF CLAIMS DENIED | | | | | 1,083 12 158 | 772 12 254 | + | 311 96 | 29 61 | 1,192 12 210 | 12 | - | 392 | 33 |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: Crime Victim Compensation Commission

09 01 04 PSD 613

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003

The variance is due to the program's transition to becoming operationally self-sufficient.

FY 2004

The variance is due to the program's transition to becoming operationally self-sufficient.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Item 1</u>: The average length of time from claim to award was higher than planned due to limited staffing.

<u>Item 4</u>: The variance is due to increases in medical costs and a higher number of victims who are without any medical insurance.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

There was no significant variance in the program target group.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

<u>Items 1, 2 and 4</u>: Due to limited staffing, the Crime Victim Compensation Commission was unable to do the outreach necessary to inform all eligible crime victims about its program.

<u>Item 6</u>: The number of claims denied was higher than planned as the Commission received a greater number of applications in which the applicant's behavior contributed to the affray. These claims were therefore denied. A major contributing factor to this behavior is the increase in drug use of the applicants.

VARIANCE REPORT

PROGRAM TITLE:

GENERAL SUPPORT - CRIMINAL ACTION

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM-ID:

| | FISCAL YEAR 2002-03 | 3 | TI | IREE MONTHS E | NDED 9-30-03 | | | ! ! ! | NINE MON | THS ENDING 6- | -30-04 | |
|---|---|--------------------|----|----------------|----------------|-----|---------------|--|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------|
| | BUDGETED ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± | L CHANGE | ¦ % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | † ± CHANGE | ! % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | - | - |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | · | | | | | | | 7 da da da da da da da da da da da da da | , | | # ! ! ! | 1 |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 193.1 163.1 54,258 44,189 | - 30.0 - 10,069 | | 194.1 7,798 | 168.1 7,787 | | 26.0 11 | 13 | 194.1 47,554 | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 193.1 163.1 54,258 44,189 | - 30.0 - 10,069 | | 194.1 7,798 | 168.1 7,787 | - | 26.0 11 | 13 | 194.1 47,554 | | | |
| | | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | - | - i |
| | | | 1 | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. VACANCIES FILLED AS % TOTAL NI 2. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMT TRAI 3. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING | EW REQUESTS TO FILL MSACTIONS (DAYS) | | | 50 25 80 | 61 26 60 | + + | 11 1 20 | 22 4 25 | 50 25 80 | 50 25 70 | - 10 | 13 |

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION
PROGRAM-ID: PSD - 900
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010501

| | FISCAL | YEAR 2002-03 |) | | TI | IREE MONTHS E | IDED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MONT | THS ENDING 6- | -30-04 | • | |
|--|--|--------------|-------------|---------------|----|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------------|----------|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± C | HANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | <u>t</u> | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ļ ± | CHANGE | / % |
| PART 1: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | i | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 151.1 48,860 | | | 30.0 7,993 | | 152.1 6,490 | 126.1 6,490 | - | 26.0 | 17 | 152.1 43,056 | 152.1 43,056 | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 151.1 48,860 | | <u>-</u> | 30.0 7,993 | | 152.1 6,490 | 126.1 6,490 | - | 26.0 | 17 | 152.1 43,056 | 152.1 43,056 | | | |
| | | | l | | | FISCA | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | -i | ·· | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ¦ ± | CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. VACANCIES FILLED AS % TOTAL NE 2. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMT TRAN 3. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING 4. % INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS COMP | ISACTIONS (DA' TRNG SESSION | YS) | | | | 50 25 80 95 | 61 26 60 75 | + | 11 1 20 20 | 22 4 25 21 | 50 25 80 95 | 50 25 70 95 | | 10 | 13 |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYE 2. NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILIT 3. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION | | | | | | 2,489 8 1,368,800 | 2,472 8 1,382,200 | į | 17 13,400 | 1 | 2,489 8 1,382,499 | 2,472 8 1,382,499 | - | 17 | 1 |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. # DELEGATED POSITION ACTIONS F 2. # FISCAL TRANSACTIONS PROCESSE 3. # TIME SHEETS PROC FOR OT & EN 4. # PRE-AUTH ACTIV COMPL FOR CI 5. # AUTH ACTIVITIES COMPL FOR CI 6. # INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS INIT | D PER DAY MERG HIRES PE & SPEC R&M P & SPEC R&M | PROJS | | | | 800 300 3,000 8 6 | 736 314 3,095 6 6 333 | + | 64 14 95 2 | 8 5 3 25 | 800 300 3,000 8 6 | 800 315 3,100 4 3 | ++ | 15 100 4 3 | 3 50 |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: General Administration

09 01 05 01 PSD 900

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2003:

The variance in expenditure is due to employee turnover, reductions in the Correctional Industries program, and the discontinuation of the Victim Services Program.

FY 2004:

The variance is due to employee turnover.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

<u>Item 1</u>: The variance is due to the aggressive recruitment and filling of law enforcement positions.

<u>Item 3</u>: The variance in the percent of department employees completing training sessions is due to staffing shortages and budget constraints.

<u>Item 4</u>: The variance is due to staff turnover, more long term cases, and higher complexity of cases being handled by the investigators.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

<u>Item 3</u>: The actual State de facto population was not available. The reported actual number was based on the Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism projected resident population for 2003 and estimated visitor count derived from past trends.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4: The variance is due to an overestimated planned figure.

<u>Item 6</u>: The variance is due to an increase in the number of drug related cases at the facilities.

REPORT V61

11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

PROGRAM-ID:

ATG - 231

| | ETCCAL V | FAR 2002 02 | | | DET MONTHS IN | | | | ! ! | | **** | | | |
|--|--|---------------|---|-----------------------|---|---|-----------------|---|--|---|---|-------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | EAR 2002-03 | | | IREE MONTHS EI | | | | ¦ | NINE MON | THS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | <u> </u> ± | CHANGE | , , |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | i ! | | į | | | İ | | | | | | | ! ! |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | i 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | | | 7 | | ; { 1 1 1 |
| DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 42.0 5,398 | 42.0 3,322 | - 2,076 | 38 | 42.0 1,308 | 42.0 1,297 | - | . 11 | 1 | 42.0 4,498 | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 42.0 5,398 | 42.0 3,322 | - 2,076 | 38 | 42.0 1,308 | 42.0 1,297 | - | 11 | 1 | 42.0 4,498 | 42.0 4,498 | | · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | *************************************** | | FISCAI | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u>. i.</u> | | i |
| | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | <u> </u> | CHANGE | <u> </u> |
| ART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AV NO. OF CIVIL ID APPLICANTS SERVICED DAILY 2. AV# DAYS REQUIRD TO COMPLETE EXPUNGEMENT PROCESS 3. AV TIME TO PROCESS CIVIL ID APPLICATION (MINUTES) 4. % CHGS POSTED AUTOMATICALLY TO OBTS/CCH 5. AV# DAYS TO ENTER DISPO DATA PER SEGMENT 6. % ELIG SEX OFFENDERS THAT HAVE REGISTERED 7. % POOR QUALITY FINGERPRINTS ON AFIS 8. % OF COMPLETE DISPOSITIONS ON OBTS/CCH 9. CHG IN # LATENT CASES SOLV VIA AUTO FINGRPT ID SYS | | | | | 240 79 60 79 90 32 2 90 25 | 206 78 55 85 90 31 3 90 290 | + + | 34 1 5 6 1 1 | 14 1 8 8 3 50 | 250 79 60 79 90 32 2 90 | 85 90 32 3 | + | 10 1 6 1 75 | 50 |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PERSONS WITH CRIMINAL RECORDS 2. PERSONS WITH EXPUNGEABLE RECORDS 3. PERSONS WITH STATE ID CARDS 4. NUMBER OF NON-CRIMINAL JUSTICE 5. NUMBER OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGE 7. NUMBER OF AGENCIES USING OBTS 8. PERSONS WITH ELIGIBLE SEX OFFI 9. NUMBER OF AGENCIES ACCESSING A | E AGENCIES SER ES SERVICED ENCIES /CCH ENDER CHARGES | VICED | | | 415,000 205,000 441,000 52 485 70 2,700 5,750 6 | 414,968 218,273 450,942 50 470 67 2,631 5,871 | -+++ | 32 13,273 9,942 2 15 3 69 | 6 2 4 3 4 3 2 | 415,000 205,000 441,000 52 485 70 2,700 5,800 | 485,000 52 | + + + | 13,300 44,000 200 | 10 |
| RT IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES L. # REG SEX OFFENDRS REQ ONGOING VERIFICATION 2. # AFIS RECORDS MAINTAINED 3. # CHGS ENTERED/UPDATED VIA INTERFACES/DATA ENTRY 4. # OF OBTS/CCH RECORDS MAINTAINED 5. # OF INQUIRY TRANSACTIONS CONDUCTED ON OBTS/CCH 6. # OF EXPUNGEMENT REQUESTS PROCESSED 7. # OF TOTAL STATE ID CARDS ISSUED 8. # CRIM HIST REC CHKS PROC REQ NAME SRCHS, FGRPTS 9. # FINGERPRINTS PROCESSED THRU AFIS | | | | | 1,970 340,000 405,000 1,730,000 940,000 1,200 60,000 180,000 57,500 | 1,870 343,540 681,758 1,825,906 1,033,227 1,233 51,238 199,000 49,548 | _ + + + + - + _ | 100 3,540 276,758 95,906 93,227 33 8,762 19,000 7,952 | 5 1 68 6 10 3 15 11 | 1,970 340,000 405,000 1,730,000 900,000 1,200 70,000 180,000 57,500 | 1,970 370,000 690,000 1,900,000 1,000,000 1,260 60,000 210,000 55,000 | + | 30,000 285,000 170,000 100,000 60 10,000 30,000 2,500 | 70 10 11 5 14 |

PROGRAM TITLE: State Criminal Justice Information and Identification

Part I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

A04 - FY 03 Actual Expenditures: The difference of \$2,075,000 between the budgeted and actual expenditures occurred because significantly less than anticipated was spent out of one non-general fund account - S210 (NCHIP) left \$1,813,000 in allotment. No actual funds lapsed, because the federal grant funds are drawn down only as expenditures are incurred for this multi-year federal grant. Heavy expenses related to the OBTS/CCH redesign project were incurred in previous years, but spending was at a reduced level in FY2003 while the redesigned system (CJIS-Hawaii) was initially placed in operation. Grant expenses are expected to ramp up because additional CJIS-Hawaii enhancements are now underway.

Part II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1 (Av # of Civil ID Applicants Serviced Daily) The number of State ID cards issued was 14% less than projected; however, the estimated number for FY2004 still shows a significant increase because State ID cards first issued in 1997 with an expiration date, will begin to expire.

Item 4 (Av Time to Process Civil ID Application (Minutes)) The reduced number of State ID cards issued (#1) also impacted the average processing time, which improved by 5 minutes.

Item 5 (% Chg Posted Automatically to OBTS/CCH) We realized an increase from 78% last fiscal year to 85% in this fiscal year. In November 2002, the HCJDC implemented the Redesign OBTS/CCH system, now known as CJIS-Hawaii. With this new system, the organization of the court disposition area was modified to facilitate the automatic processing of court dispositions. It appears that the changes in this area have indeed helped as the number of charges entered/updated via interfaces increased by 44%.

Item 10 (Chg in # Latent Cases Solved via AFIS) This count reflects the impact of having an additional 50,000 records entered into AFIS, that previously were not available electronically. Further, after the retirement of the top producing latent examiner in the state, additional staff and examiners have now been hired at the police departments, enabling more latent processing to be done.

Part IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4 (# of Chgs Entered/Updated via Interfaces/Data Entry) Last year, we incorrectly reported 399,789 charges for this program activity. This number only represented the number of charges entered/updated via interfaces, and did not include the number of charges entered/updated via manual data entry. The actual number should have been 513,138 charges.

This year, we are reporting a 3% increase in this activity (681,758 charges). As noted above, modifications made to the court disposition area in CJIS-Hawaii have led to an increase in the number of charges being entered/updated automatically, thereby decreasing the need to enter these dispositions manually.

Item 6 (#of Inquiry Transactions Conducted on OBTS/CCH) We realized an 11% increase in the number of inquiry transactions conducted on the OBTS/CCH system. CJIS-Hawaii, the Redesigned OBTS/CCH system, runs on a PC using browser technology. This makes accessing our system much easier for our users and many are now able to access our information from their desks without special software. This has increased the number of users within our user agencies who access CJIS-Hawaii and therefore, increases the number of inquiry transactions conducted.

Item 8 (# of Total State ID Cards Issued) This number reflects the 14% decrease in applicants during the fiscal year.

Item 9 (# Crim Hist Rec Chks Proc Req Name Srchs, Fgrpts) This substantial increase is a reflection of the continually growing interest in criminal history record checks for personal, employment and licensing purposes, especially in light of heightened homeland security concerns.

Item 10 (# Fingerprints Processed thru AFIS) These figures more accurately represent the true processing numbers, by using actual counts from AFIS coupled with actual counts derived from the new CJIS-Hawaii system.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

SAFETY FROM PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

| | FISCAL Y | EAR 2002-03 | | TH | REE MONTHS EI | NDED 9-30-03 | | | NINE MONT | HS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|---|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 166.5 15,916 | 165.5 19,746 | - 1.0 3,830 | | 168.5 3,555 | 167.5 4,503 | - 1.0 948 | 1 27 | 168.5 11,758 | 168.5 11,781 | 23 | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 166.5 15,916 | 165.5 19,746 | - 1.0 3,830 | | 168.5 3,555 | 167.5 4,503 | - 1.0 948 | 1 27 | 168.5 11,758 | 168.5 11,781 | 23 | |
| | | | | | FISCAI | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | i . | L |
| | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. "# INCIDENTS M/DEATH,INJ,DISA | | | | | 2 | 2 | | † | 4 | . 4 | | |

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM TITLE:
PROGRAM-ID:

LNR - 810

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090201

REPORT V61 11/24/03

| | FISCAL | YEAR 2002-0 | 3 | i | TH | REE MONTHS EN | DED 9-30-03 | | | | NINE MONT | HS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|----|------------------|------------------|--------|----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CH | ANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ļ ± | CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | ! % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | i ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 |
| DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 4.0 269 | | - | 1.0 31 | | 4.0 72 | 3.0 49 | - | 1.0 23 | 25 32 | 4.0 223 | 4.0 246 | 23 | 1 |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 4.0 269 | | | 1.0 31 | | 4.0 | 3.0 49 | - | 1.0 23 | 25 32 | 4.0 223 | 4.0 246 | 23 | 1 |
| | | | i | i | | FISCAI | YEAR 2002- | 03 | | | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | <u>i</u> | i |
| | | | | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± | CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | ± CHANGE | % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF INCIDENTS H/DEATHS,INJ | DIS OR PROP | DAM | | | | 2 | 2 | † | | | 4 | 4 | | |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLION) | | | | | | 1.3 | 1.3 | | | | 1.3 | 1.3 | | |
| PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. F.C.& PREV. PLNS REVIEWED (NO. 2. FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS IMPLEMI 3. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS ENTEREMENTS FOR THE PROJECTS IMPLEMING A CONSRV LA | ENTED (NO.) D INTO (NO.) AWS REVIEWED | | | | | 4 1 1 2 | 4 1 1 2 | | yr en enderdelendele | | 4 1 1 2 | 4 1 1 2 | | |
| 5. F. C. RESEARCH, STUDIES & INVI 6. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RENDERED 7. REPORTS & MAPS PREPARED 8. NO. OF DAMS INSPECTED 9. FLOOD WILLIAMS PREPARED. (M. | (MAN-HOURS) | IED | | | | 500 2 72 | 500 1 42 | | 1 30 | 50 42 | 500 2 72 | 4 500 2 72 | | *************************************** |
| 9. FLOOD MITIGATION PERFORMED (MA 10. DAM SAFETY PROJECTS DEVELOPED | | ED (NO.) | | | | 100 1 | 80 | - | 20 1 | 20 100 | 100 1 | 100 1 | i 1 1 | - |

Variance Report Narrative FY 2003 and FY 2004

PROGRAM TITLE: Prevention of Natural Disasters

09 02 01 LNR 810

Part I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Differences in FY 2003 budgeted amount and actual expenditure primarily due to savings from the temporarily vacant position and a general cost cutting of program expenses.

Part III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUP
No significant variance.

Part II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS Storm frequency and intensity less than average.

Part IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 7 - Reduced due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

Item 8 - Reduced due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

Item 9 - Mitigation assistance requests not as anticipated due to less than average storm frequency and intensity.

Item 10 - Reduced due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

REPORT V61 11/24/03

PROGRAM TITLE:

AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS DEF - 110

PROGRAM-ID:

| | FISCAL | YEAR 2002-03 | | TH | IREE MONTHS EN | IDED 9-30-03 | | į | NINE MONT | THS ENDING 6- | 30-04 | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|----------|----|---|---|-------------|-------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------|-----|
| | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | BUDGETED | ESTIMATED | ± 1 | CHANGE | 1 % |
| PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | · | • | Per sea sea sea sea sea sea sea | | |
| OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 162.5 15,647 | | 3,861 | 25 | 164.5 3,483 | 164.5 4,454 | 971 | 28 | 164.5 11,535 | 164.5 11,535 | pen can add sau das des des des des | | |
| TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES | 162.5 15,647 | | 3,861 | 25 | 164.5 3,483 | 164.5 4,454 | 971 | 28 | 164.5 11,535 | 164.5 11,535 | | | |
| | M | • | | | FISCAL | YEAR 2002- | b3 | 1 | FISCAL YEAR | 2003-04 | -1 | | |
| • | | ٠ | | | PLANNED | ACTUAL | ± CHANGE | % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | į ± | CHANGE | ! % |
| PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % OF CIVIL DEFENSE DISASTER PL 2. % OF CIVIL DEFENSE ORGANIZATN 3. % OF CD EMERGENCY SUPPORT SYST 4. % OF HARNG PERSONNEL READINESS 5. % OF HARNG TRAINING READINESS 6. % OF HARNG LOGISTICS READINESS 7. % OF HANG PERSONNEL READINESS 8. % OF HANG TRAINING READINESS 9. % OF HANG LOGISTICS READINESS | & TRAINING R TEMS READINES | EADINESS | | | 75 75 75 85 64 89 98 90 | 75 75 75 84 64 92 93 90 | + | 1 1 3 3 5 5 | 75 75 75 85 64 89 98 90 | 75 75 75 85 64 89 95 90 | | 3 | 3 |
| PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. RESIDENT POPULATION OF THE STA 2. AVERAGE DAILY VISITOR, POP. IN | , | 000'S) | | | 1,245 180 | 1,245 180 | | | 1,245 180 | 1,245 180 | | | |
| PART IY: PROGRAM ACTIVITIES 1. NO. OF FUNCTIONAL MILITARY UNI 2. AMOUNT OF DIRECT FED FUND SPPI 3. NO. OF ARMORIES & SUPPORT FACT 4. INVENTORY COST OF NAT GUARD EC 5. COST OF MILITARY SPPT TO CIVIL 6. ASSIGNED MILITARY STRENGTH (NU 7. NO. OF CIVIL DEFENSE PLANS UPP 8. NO. OF PERSONS COMPLETING FORM | FOR MIL DEF LITTIES MAINT QUIP MAINTAIN AUTHORITIES UMBER) DATED | AINED D(000'S) (000'S) | | | 57 184,000 94 4,552,000 327 5,450 172 70 | 57 184,000 94 4,552,000 454 5,381 172 | + 12 - 6 | 1 | 57 184,000 94 4,552,000 327 5,450 172 | 57 184,000 94 4,552,000 327 5,450 172 160 | | 90 | 129 |
| | S MAINTAINED | | | | 1,150 | 300 | - 85 | | | | ! ' | 850 | |

VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 03 and FY 04

PROGRAM TITLE: AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTER - DEF 110

09 02 02 DEF 110

Part I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

A04 Actual expenditures increased due to the receipt of Federal Military Construction Funds.

Part II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Part III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Part IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 8. No. of Persons Completing Formal CD Training The estimated increase for FY 2004 from 70 to 160 is due to receipt of additional federal funding to allow State Civil Defense to obtain adequate facilities to accommodate larger attendance in each class.
- 9. No. of Emergency Shelter Spaces Maintained (000'8) The number 1,150,000 is an old figure that reflects all shelters (Private and public) needed for nuclear disasters to protect against the effects of radioactive fallout. The number of public shelter spaces that the State maintains is approximately 300,000 shelter spaces located mostly at public schools. A shortage of 175,000 emergency shelter spaces exist for the State of Hawaii based on a survey conducted in 1998.
- 10. No. of Warning Devices installed The goal of 15 sirens was not accomplished for FY 2003 due to site location. New site location needed to be determined due to complaints received by private citizens on the original location of

| | • |
|-----|---|
| | |
| | |
| v . | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |